**Evaluation Worksheets**

 **(Note in WORD the boxes below will expand to fit the text)**

**Evaluation Worksheet 1**

|  |
| --- |
| **Research Area: High Impact Weather Observations** |
| **Reviewer:****Overall Evaluation:** 🞎 *Highest Performance*--Program greatly exceeds the Satisfactory level and is outstanding in almost all areas.🞎 *Exceeds Expectations*--Program goes well beyond the Satisfactory level and is outstanding in many areas.🞎 *Satisfactory*--Program meets expectations and the criteria for a Satisfactory rating. 🞎 *Needs Improvement*--Program does not reach expectations and does not meet the criteria for a Satisfactory rating. The reviewer will identify specific problem areas that need to be addressed. |
| **QUALITY** 🞎 Highest Performance 🞎 Exceeds Expectations  🞎 Satisfactory 🞎 Needs Improvement |
| **Comments and observations/findings:**  |
| **RELEVANCE** 🞎 Highest Performance 🞎 Exceeds Expectations  🞎 Satisfactory 🞎 Needs Improvement |
| **Comments and observations/findings:**  |
| **PERFORMANCE** 🞎 Highest Performance 🞎 Exceeds Expectations  🞎 Satisfactory 🞎 Needs Improvement |
| **Comments and observations/findings:**  |
| **Recommendations for High Impact Weather Observations |Please provide specific, actionable recommendations based on your observations/findings** |
|  |

**Evaluation Worksheet 2**

|  |
| --- |
| **Research Area: Marine Observations** |
| **Reviewer:****Overall Evaluation:** 🞎 *Highest Performance*--Program greatly exceeds the Satisfactory level and is outstanding in almost all areas.🞎 *Exceeds Expectations*--Program goes well beyond the Satisfactory level and is outstanding in many areas.🞎 *Satisfactory*--Program meets expectations and the criteria for a Satisfactory rating. 🞎 *Needs Improvement*--Program does not reach expectations and does not meet the criteria for a Satisfactory rating. The reviewer will identify specific problem areas that need to be addressed. |
| **QUALITY** 🞎 Highest Performance 🞎 Exceeds Expectations  🞎 Satisfactory 🞎 Needs Improvement |
| **Comments and observations/findings:**   |
| **RELEVANCE** 🞎 Highest Performance 🞎 Exceeds Expectations  🞎 Satisfactory 🞎 Needs Improvement |
| **Comments and observations/findings:**  |
| **PERFORMANCE** 🞎 Highest Performance 🞎 Exceeds Expectations  🞎 Satisfactory 🞎 Needs Improvement |
| **Comments and observations/findings:**  |
| **Recommendations for Marine Observations****Please provide specific, actionable recommendations based on your observations/findings** |
|  |

**Evaluation Worksheet 3**

|  |
| --- |
| **Research Area: Polar Observations** |
| **Reviewer:****Overall Evaluation:** 🞎 *Highest Performance*--Program greatly exceeds the Satisfactory level and is outstanding in almost all areas.🞎 *Exceeds Expectations*--Program goes well beyond the Satisfactory level and is outstanding in many areas.🞎 *Satisfactory*--Program meets expectations and the criteria for a Satisfactory rating. 🞎 *Needs Improvement*--Program does not reach expectations and does not meet the criteria for a Satisfactory rating. The reviewer will identify specific problem areas that need to be addressed. |
| **QUALITY** 🞎 Highest Performance 🞎 Exceeds Expectations  🞎 Satisfactory 🞎 Needs Improvement |
| **Comments and observations/findings:**   |
| **RELEVANCE** 🞎 Highest Performance 🞎 Exceeds Expectations  🞎 Satisfactory 🞎 Needs Improvement |
| **Comments and observations/findings:**  |
| **PERFORMANCE** 🞎 Highest Performance 🞎 Exceeds Expectations  🞎 Satisfactory 🞎 Needs Improvement |
| **Comments and observations/findings:**  |
| **Recommendations for Polar Observations Please provide specific, actionable recommendations based on your observations/findings** |
|  |

**Reviewer Feedback Worksheet – Additional Comments and Feedback on the Review Process**

|  |
| --- |
| **Reviewer:** |
| **Additional comments for OAR and Program management:** |
|  |
| **Additional comments and suggestions on conduct of the review for use in future Program reviews** Please help OAR improve our science review process by telling us what worked well and did not work well throughout the process. In order to reduce the burden on you and the Program staff, we would like to provide only the useful background information. What information provided was especially useful or not useful in your evaluations? What additional information would have helped you in your evaluation? What information could have been omitted without impacting the quality of your review? |
|  |